



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/628,894

07/28/2003

Yi-Nan Chen

10112581

7425

34283

7590

08/12/2004

QUINTERO LAW OFFICE
1617 BROADWAY, 3RD FLOOR
SANTA MONICA, CA 90404

EXAMINER

PHAM, THANH V

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2823

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/628,894

Applicant(s)

CHEN ET AL.

Examiner

Thanh V Pham

Art Unit

2823



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/03/03.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forster et al. US 6,455,369 B1 in combination with Wolf et al., Silicon Processing for the VLSI Era, Vol. 1.

Re claims 1,5 and 8- 9 and 15 and 18, the Forster et al. reference discloses a first methods for fabricating a trench capacitor comprising: forming a trench in a substrate, fig. 1A; filling a lower portion of the trench with a conductive S5 layer surrounded by a doped layer S4, fig. 1D; forming a conformable silicon nitride S6 layer overlying the substrate and an inner surface of an upper portion of the trench to cover the conductive layer and the doped layer, fig. 1F; performing a heat treatment on the substrate to form a doping region S7 therein and around the doped layer, fig. 1G; anisotropically etching the silicon nitride layer to form a collar silicon nitride layer over the sidewall of the upper portion of the trench, col. 10, ll. 2-3; successively removing the conductive layer and the doped layer using the collar silicon nitride layer using the collar silicon nitride layer as a mask to expose the surface of the doping region, fig. 1H; forming a

conformable rugged polysilicon layer S8 in the lower portion of the bottle-shaped trench, fig. 1I; and filling the lower portion of the bottle-shaped trench with a first doped-polysilicon layer S11 to serve as a top plate, fig. 1N.

The first method of Forster et al. lacks the steps of partially oxidizing the exposed doping region to form a doped oxide region thereon and removing the doped oxide region to form a bottle-shaped trench. However, the third through fifth methods disclose, after removing the conductive S5, “the lower trench region is widened by means of a further etching step in order to enlarge the electrode surface, as is illustrate in FIG. 5I”, col. 13, lines 55-58, e.g. One of ordinary skill in the art would seek a way to further etching the lower trench region to enlarge the electrode surface as suggested by Forster et al.’s fifth method; and Wolf et al. provides a wet etching silicon dioxide with various hydrofluoric acid (*re claims 5 and 15*) (page 532) after recognizes the thermal processing includes rapid thermal processing (pages 56-58) (*re claims 8 and 18*), “the density of thermally grown fused silica is less than that of crystalline quartz” (page 200) and “diffusion in an oxidizing ambient can result in oxidation enhanced diffusion or oxidation retarded diffusion” (page 264). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ an oxidizing and etching steps of Wolf et al. in the combination of the first and fifth method of Foster et al. as the oxidizing and etch steps would be selected in accordance with the trench capacitor formation in order to enlarge the electrode surface as taught by Foster et al.

Re claims 2 and 20, the Forster et al. reference discloses further performing a gas phase doping after the rugged polysilicon layer is formed, col. 10, lines 17-20.

Re claim 3, the Forster et al. reference discloses the conductive layer is a polysilicon layer, col. 9, line 56.

Re claims 4 and 14, the Forster et al. reference discloses the doped layer is an arsenic silicate glass layer, col. 9, line 54.

Re claims 6 and 16, the combination does not disclose the silicon nitride layer has a thickness of about 300-400 angstroms. Choice of about 300-400 angstroms for the silicon nitride to achieve particular device properties would have been a matter of routine optimization because a layer thickness are known to affect device properties and would depend on the desired device density on the finished wafer and the desired device characteristics. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been led to the recited thickness through routine experimentation to achieve the desired device density (MPEP 2144.05).

Re claims 7 and 17, the Forster et al. reference discloses "by means of baking, arsenic is then outdiffused from the arsenic glass layer S4 into the silicon substrate S1" (col. 9, lines 65-66) but not disclose the heat treatment is performed at about 900-1100 °C, the Wolf et al. reference discloses "dopants were diffused to the desired depths by subjecting to elevated temperatures (900-1200 °C)" (page 242). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the elevated temperature of Wolf et al. in the baking of Forster et al. as the temperature would be selected in

accordance with the trench capacitor formation in order to have a buried plate as taught by Foster et al.

Re claim 10, the Forster et al. reference further discloses removing the collar silicon nitride layer S6, fig. 1N; forming a collar silicon oxide layer S12 over the upper portion of the sidewall of the bottle-shaped trench; and successively filling the upper portion of the bottle-shaped trench with a second doped polysilicon layer and a third doped polysilicon layer, fig. 1O and col. 10, ll. 35-62.

Re claim 11, the Forster et al. reference discloses the masking layer is composed of a pad oxide layer S2 and an overlying silicon nitride layer S3, fig. 1A.

Re claim 19, the Forster et al. reference discloses the capacitor dielectric layer comprises a silicon nitride layer S10, fig. 1L.

Re claim 12, the combination does not disclose forming a recess at the pad oxide layer and filling the recess with silicon nitride. The Ho et al. reference discloses before filling the trench further comprising the steps of: etching the pad oxide layer 20 to form a recess, fig. 1e; and filling the recess with silicon nitride 50 before further steps to form a trench capacitor, figs. 1g-1m.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply a further step of Ho et al. in the combination process as the nitride filling step at the pad oxide would be selected in order to enforce the nitride from peeling in accordance with the process of forming trench capacitor as taught by the combination.

Conclusion


3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh V. Pham whose telephone number is 571-272-1866. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (6:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 571-272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

wp

TvP
08/04/04


Olik Chaudhuri
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800